## Starfield-compo

## The mission

Another size optimizing compo! This time the aim is to reduce a 3D-Starfield routine by size. 3D starfields should be wellknown from amiga-demos in the early 90ies.
Since the aim should not be reached by cutting down system compatibility to gain some extra bytes, a source is provided which does all system-init, doublebuffering and screenclearing for you. You just have to add your own optimized code at the given place. More precisely this are two places - one initroutine, which is called once and can be used by you to calculate tables etc. and the main-routine. The main routine is getting a pointer to the bitplane it has to drawn on in A0.

Deadline was: saturday, june 28, 1997 at 21.00 CET.

## Rules:

- You may use as much BSS-space as you want - its not added to the overall length.
- 512 Stars have to be calculate per frame. (And most of them should be visible)
- Registers must be assumed to be in an undefined state, when the Init is called.
- A star is in this case defined as a pixel on one bitplane.
- Perspective projection and clipping at the screen borders..
- The stars are moving towards the spectator (Eyepoint, Z-direction)
- When a stars passed the eyepoint it has to be set to a random position in 3D-space. (No repeating patterns)
- The position $(0,0,0)$ in 3D-space is at $(160 ; 128)$ on the screen!
- No system calls, no direct hardware access. (No \$DFFxxx)
- No usage of the content of any memory area not included in the program to generate random values. (This includes reading from the Kickstart ROM)
btw thanks a lot to Morbid for providing then Init-Source ! :)


## The results

This time 12 people took part in the compo - and unlike at the SQRT-compo there are nearly no contributions with equal length.
Sounds like easy judgeing, but this time I had problems of another kind. 3 of the 10 contributions didnt take care of all rules. Two of them didnt do proper perspective - I didnt disqualify them, since they werent very close of winning. The problem was Axis contribution. He sent me one with 62 bytes using some nice tricks, but it had a repeating pattern. The stars repeated every 256 steps. He simply
cycled the Z-values instead of generating new, individual random-positions. I told it to him and he later replied, that he fixed it needing another 6 bytes. But I didnt get his new routine till today. So I will assume that his routine is 68 Bytes.

You thought that was it ? Well, me too! But on the day after the first (earlier) deadline I got another contribution by Raylight, which matched all the rules and had a length of only $\mathbf{6 0}$ bytes. Since it was in time for the later, original deadline I decided to let in contribute anyways. So we have a new winner!
Yet another thing happened: I suddenly saw, how to cut my 58 bytes routine down to 54 bytes! Can anyone find another tweak ?

## Detailed results:

| 1. | Raylight | 60 bytes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Azure | 64 bytes |
| 3. | Chip | 64 bytes |
| 4. | Axis | 68 bytes |
| 5. | Dave | 74 bytes |
| 6. | Accede | 86 bytes |
| 7. | Dark Angel | 94 bytes |
| 8. | Shin *1 | 96 bytes |
| 9. | Kaneda *2 | 102 bytes |
| 10. | Wind | 104 bytes |
| 11. | Flynn | 108 bytes |
|  |  | 128 bytes |
|  | *1 not doing real perspective transformation. |  |
| *2 not doing real perspective transformation, stars are only in $256 \times 256$ range. |  |  |

So - and you thought 64 bytes (my original contribution, which was finished before I got any others contribution) is the minimum ? It isnt! After getting Axis contribution I saw he was using a quite nice trick to shorten the amount of bytes used for perspective transformation. I substituted my perspectivecode with his and managed to shorten Axis perspective transformation code by even another 4 bytes. Ending up with a 60 bytes routine! Using another Trick I even made it to 58 bytes, without violating any of the rules - I cycled the random-numbers. Check out the code for more info :) Graham later told me, that this was, what Axis originally wanted to do in his routine.
The routine is now down to even 54 bytes..

## How does it work?

Explaining every single routine is a bit too time consuming. I will just publish some routines at this page. Download a package with all contributions here. (Zip-File)

The winning 60 byte-routine by Raylight: (no doubt, this guy is using GoldED for his sources. :) )

```
    moveq #-128,d5
    lea -512*3*2-32(a7),a1
.lop movem.w (a1)+,d1-d3
    subq.w #7,-(a1)
    bgt.b .ok
    sub.l (a1),d0
    add.w d0,(a1)
    eor.l d0,-(a1)
    addq.l #4,a1
.ok divs.w (a1),d1
    divs.w (a1)+,d2
    cmp.w #160,d1
    bge.b .skip
    add.w #160,d1
    blt.b .skip
    cmp.w d5,d2
    ble.b .skip
    add.w d5,d2
    bgt.b .skip
    muls.w #-320,d2
    add.w d1,d2
    bfset (a0){d2:1}
.skip sub.w d5,d6
bvc.b .lop
```

The winning 64 byte-routine by Azure:
**** Starfield by Azure, 64 Bytes. Check out the full source for details.
Starfield:
lsr.w \#7,d6
lea StarBuffer+6,a1
.newpos
ror.l \#7,d7 ;random number generator
add.w d6,d7
; move d7,-(a1) ;y-pos now random number
; move d2,-(a1) ;x-pos now former y-pos
; move d1,-(a1) ;z-pos now former x-pos
;using this trick the random-numbers
;are cycled through the coordinate
;parts
movem.w d1/d2/d7,-(a1)
.lop1
addq.w \#SpeedOfStars,(a1)
movem.w (a1)+,d0-d2 ;z x y (movem doesnt alter flags)
bpl.s .newpos ;illegal position (behind viewer or at z=0)
divs.w d0,d1
divs.w d0,d2
;perspective
;higher words have been cleared in the ;previous run
add.w \#160,d1
add.w \#128,d2
ext.l d1
mulu.w \#40,d2 ;clears upper word of d1/d2 for next ;run
cmp.w \#320,d1

```
            bcc.s .newpos
            cmp.w #256*40,d2
            bcc.s .newpos
            bfset (a0,d2){d1:1} ;d2 is row offset
                                    ;d1 is x-pos
.out
dbf d6,.lop1
rts
```

This is Axis 62 byte routine, which was disqualified. Check it out anyways, since it is quite interesting.

```
**** Starfield by Axis, 62 Bytes. Check out the full source for details.
Starfield:
c moveq \#\$01,d5
subq \#\$01,d2
lsr.w \#\$07,d0
move.w d0,d6
add.b d2,d6
addq \#\$01,d6
move.w d5,d1
divu.w \#\$4433,d5
move.w d5,d7
moveq \#\$21,d3
moveq \#\$7f,d4
.13:
.12:
extb.l d7
lsl.1 \#\$06,d7 ; this one can be left out, but then it
                                    ;starts to get really ugly (au=1). :o)
divs.w d6,d7
cmp.w d4,d7
bge.b . 12
add.w d4, d7
bmi.b . 12
add.w d3,d4
exg d7,d1
lsr.w \#\$04,d3
bne.b . 13
mulu.w \#\$28,d7
ext.l d1
bfset \$28(a0,d7.w)\{d1:01\}
dbra d0,.10
rts
```

This is Azures (some ideas by Axis) 54 byte routine, which didnt take part in the compo:
**** Starfield by Azure , 54 Bytes. Check out the full source for details.

```
Starfield:
        rol.l d5,d5
        addq #5,d5
        move.l d5,d7
.lop1
        addq #2,d6
        move.w d7,d1
        rol.l d7,d7
        addq #5,d7
        move.w d7,d2
    moveq #127,d3
    moveq #33,d4
.lop2
    ext.l d2
    divs.w d6,d2 ;optimized version of axis persp-trick
    cmp.w d3,d2 ;(4 bytes shorter)
    bge.s .out
    add.w d3,d2
    bmi.s .out
    exg.l d1,d2
    add.b d4,d3 ;this will loop once
    bvs.s .lop2
    mulu.w #320,d2
    add d1,d2
    bfset (a0){d2:1} ;d2 is row offset
                        ;d1 is x-pos
.out
    and #$3fe,d6
    bne.s .lop1
    rts
```

